

2011 NAON Congress Education Poster Evaluation Tool

GENERAL INFORMATION:

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. The poster presentation title is prominent and easily determined. | Yes | No |
| 2. The presenter name, credentials are listed on the poster. | Yes | No |
| 3. The presenter(s) facility/organization is on the poster. | Yes | No |
| 4. Learning objectives are clearly identifiable on the poster. | Yes | No |
| 5. Current references are listed on the poster. | Yes | No |

OVERALL PRESENTATION:

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. The information is clear, concise, and well organized. | Yes | No |
| 2. The font and colors enhance readability. | Yes | No |
| 3. The graphics enhance the content of the poster. | Yes | No |
| 4. The poster is visually appealing. | Yes | No |
| 5. There is creative use of materials.
(i.e., photos, charts, color/graphics, etc.) | Yes | No |
| 6. A handout that summarizes the poster is available to participants. | Yes | No |
| 7. The content is free of specific product/company bias | Yes | No |

Points Key = 1 point for yes, zero (0) point for no

Total Points = _____

CONTENT: (0 = absent, 5 = excellent)

- | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. The background/significance of the topic/project is summarized. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. The topic/project is significant and/or relevant to orthopaedic nursing education or patient education. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. The topic/project represents innovation in orthopaedic nursing education or patient education. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

