

**2010 NAON Congress  
Clinical Practice Poster Evaluation Criteria**

**GENERAL INFORMATION:**

- |  |     |    |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. The poster presentation title is prominent and easily determined. | Yes | No |
| 2. The presenter name, credentials are listed on the poster.         | Yes | No |
| 3. The presenter(s) facility/organization is on the poster.          | Yes | No |

**OVERALL PRESENTATION:**

- |   |     |    |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. The information is clear, concise, and well organized. | Yes | No |
| 2. The font and colors enhance readability.               | Yes | No |
| 3. The graphics enhance the content of the poster.        | Yes | No |
| 4. The poster is visually appealing.                      | Yes | No |
| 5. There is creative use of materials.                    | Yes | No |

**CONTENT: (0 = absent, 5 = excellent)**

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. The project represents innovation in orthopaedic nursing practice.        | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. The topic is significant and/or relevant to orthopaedic nursing practice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. The unique role and/or contribution of nursing is (are) described.        | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. The background/significance of the project is summarized.                 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. The purpose of the project/activity is clearly stated.                    | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6. The rationale for the project is supported by clinical information.       | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

