

2010 NAON Congress Research Poster Evaluation Criteria

| | Absent | Poor | Average | Average | Excellent | Excellent |
|--|--------|------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>PROBLEM/ PURPOSE:</i> | | | | | | |
| Is the problem or purpose of the study clear? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is the hypothesis or research question clearly worded and concise? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is the hypothesis or research question tied directly to the study problem? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is the population being studied clearly defined and appropriate? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is the significance of the study to orthopaedic nursing apparent? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/> | | | | | | |
| <i>BACKGROUND:</i> | | | | | | |
| Is the background of the issue included? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/> | | | | | | |
| <i>METHODOLOGY:</i> | | | | | | |
| Is the basic design identified, i.e. quantitative vs. qualitative? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Are the demographics of subjects identified? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is it clear what was measured and how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Are the research tools or measurements identified as valid and reliable? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Are the statistics used identified and appropriate? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Is there a statement about IRB approval or that the study was exempt from review? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/> | | | | | | |
| <i>RESULTS/ FINDINGS:</i> | | | | | | |
| Were the findings presented in an objective manner? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Was each study research question addressed separately (if there were more than one)? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were limitations of the study discussed? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were conclusions clearly stated? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/> | | | | | | |

